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#### Abstract

In this paper, we focus on the research and analysis of the geometric properties and symmetry of slant curves and contact magnetic curves in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. To do this, we define the notion of Lorentzian cross product. From the perspectives of the Legendre and non-geodesic curves, we found the ratio relationship between the curvature and torsion of the slant curve and contact magnetic curve in the Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. Moreover, we utilized the property of the contact magnetic curve to characterize the manifold as Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian and to find the slant curve type of the Frenet contact magnetic curve. Furthermore, we found an example to verify the geometric properties of the slant curve and contact magnetic curve in the Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds.
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## 1. Introduction

Sasakian manifolds are a natural generalization of Kähler manifolds to odd-dimensional manifolds. For $(\alpha, \beta)$ trans-Sasakian structures, if $\beta=0$, that manifold will become an $\alpha$-Sasakian manifolds. Sasakian manifolds appear as examples of $\alpha$-Sasakian manifolds with $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=0$ in [1]. In 2005, Yildiz and Murathan introduced the notions of Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian manifolds [2]. Subsequently, many researchers started to study a class of Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian manifolds [3], Ricci solitons [4], Gauss-Bonnet theorem [5], and $\eta$-Ricci solitons [6] in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian manifolds. Over the last few years, an increasing number of scholars have had an increasing interest in the geometric properties and symmetry of contact magnetic curves and slant curves. The notion of using slant curves as a generalization of Legendre curves was introduced in [7]. Abdul and Rajendra introduced slant null curves in [8]. Moreover, Inoguchi studied slant curves in normal almost contact metric 3-manifolds and magnetic curves in quasi-Sasakian 3-manifolds in $[9,10]$. Furthermore, Lee studied slant curves with CR structures in contact Lorentzian manifolds [11] and supported the usefulness of contact magnetic curves and slant curves in Lorentzian Sasakian 3-manifolds [12].

One motivation for this paper was our observation in [12]. That is, in Lorentzian Sasakian 3-manifolds, the ratio relationship between $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}-1$ along a non-geodesic Frenet slant curve has been proven to be constant. In this paper, we try to derive the ratio relationship between curvature and torsion along a non-geodesic Frenet slant curve and along a non-geodesic Frenet contact magnetic curve in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. Moreover, we try to find the type of slant curve of non-geodesic Frennet contact magnetic curve. To do this, we first define the notion of Lorentzian cross product on almost contact Lorentzian manifolds and derive the six properties of this Lorentzian cross product. Then, we prove that the ratio relationship between $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}-\alpha$ along a non-geodesic Frenet slant
curve is constant, and the ratio relationship between $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}+\alpha$ along a non-geodesic Frenet contact magnetic curve is also constant, by using the method of Lorentzian cross product in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. We find that the non-geodesic contact magnetic curve $C$ is a slant pseudo-helix. For future research directions, we hope to do some related work on singularity theory and symmetry (see [13-20]).

The paper is organized in the following way: In Section 2, we recall some sufficient and necessary concepts that are the basis for our research, including some notations of slant curves, magnetic curves and trans-Sasakian structure of $(\alpha, \beta)$. In Section 3, we introduce the concept of Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian manifolds. Then, similar to the cross product in three-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds, we define the notion of Lorentzian cross on almost contact Lorentzian 3-manifolds. Along a non-geodesic Frenet slant curve in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds, by using the methods of Lorentzian cross product, we can prove that the ratio relationship between the $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}-\alpha$ is constant. For a null curve, the triad of the vector field $\left\{C^{\prime}, \xi_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}\right\}$ is not used as the basis and there does not exist a non-geodesic null slant curve $C$ in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. In Section 4, we utilize the property of contact mangnetic curves to characterize the manifold as Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian. At the same time, we prove that the slant curve type of the non-geodesic Frennet contact magnetic curve is a pseudo-helix and prove that the ratio relationship between the $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}+\alpha$ is constant along a non-geodesic Frenet contact magnetic curve in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. In Section 5, we first give a threedimensional manifold $M=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: z>0\right\}$ and verify that this manifold is a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold, where the Reeb vector field $\xi_{\alpha}$ is $F_{3}$ and the contact form is $\eta_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\alpha} d z$. Moreover, we prove that the Frenet slant curve $C$ is geodesic and that there does not exist a non-geodesic Frenet slant in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. Finally, we take a special curve $\gamma(s)=b s+c$ and derive the expression of contact magnetic curves in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds.

## 2. Preliminaries

A magnetic curve refers to the path followed by a charged particle moving under the influence of both a magnetic and electric field. A closed 2-form magnetic field $F$ [21] on a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(\Phi_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right), Y_{\alpha}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in T M$ and $\Phi_{\alpha}$ is a skew-symmetric (1,1)-type tensor field, which represents the Lorentz force associated with $F$. The magnetic trajectories of $F$ are curve $C$ on $M$ that satisfies the Lorentz equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} C^{\prime}=\Phi_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla^{L}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with $g$. The geodesic of $M$ naturally satisfies the Lorentz equation, that is $\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} C^{\prime}=0$. The skew-symmetry of the Lorentz force $\Phi_{\alpha}$ gives rise to the property of magnetic curves $C$

$$
\frac{d}{d t} g\left(C^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)=2 g\left(\Phi_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right), C^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

In other words, $C$ has a constant speed curve $\left|C^{\prime}\right|=v_{0}$. Particularly, if $C$ is parameterized by the arc-length, the magnetic curve $C$ is called a normal curve.

For a contact Lorentzian manifold, if $(M \times \mathbb{R}, J, G)$ belongs to the class $W_{4}$ [22], a transSasakian structure [23] is an almost contact metric structure $\left(\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g\right)$ on $M$, where $J$ is an almost complex structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}[1,24-26]$, defined by

$$
J\left(X_{\alpha}, f \frac{d}{d t}\right)=\left(\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}-f \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \frac{d}{d t}\right)
$$

where $X_{\alpha} \in T M, f$ is a smooth function on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, and $G$ is the product metric on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. If the almost complex structure $J$ is integrable, then the contact Lorentzian manifold $M$ is
either normal or Sasakian. The satisfaction of certain conditions is necessary and sufficient for M to be considered normal. It is known that a contact Lorentzian manifold $M$ is normal if and only if $M$ satisfies

$$
N_{\phi_{\alpha}}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)+2 d \eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}=0, \forall X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in T M,
$$

where $N_{\phi_{\alpha}}$ is the Nijenhuis torsion of $\phi_{\alpha}$. This may be expressed by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{L} \phi_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}=\alpha\left(g\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) X_{\alpha}\right)+\beta\left(g\left(\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are smooth functions on $M$, and we say that the trans-Sasakian structure $[27,28]$ is type $(\alpha, \beta)$. For (3), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha} & =-\alpha \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+\beta\left(X_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}\right),  \tag{4}\\
\left(\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{L} \eta_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha} & =-\alpha g\left(\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)+\beta g\left(\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 1 ([29]). A trans-Sasakian structure of $(\alpha, \beta)$ is $\alpha$-Sasakian if $\beta=0$ and $\alpha$ is a non-zero constant.

In this case, $\alpha$ becomes a constant. If $\alpha=1$, then the $\alpha$-Sasakian manifold is a Sasakian manifold.

## 3. Slant Curves of the Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-Manifolds

The concept of slant curves, which extends the idea of Legendre curves, was presented in [7] for contact Riemannian 3-manifolds. Such as in the case of these manifolds, a curve is considered to be slant on a contact Lorentzian manifold if its tangent vector field maintains a constant angle with the Reeb vector field (i.e., $g\left(C^{\prime}, \xi_{\alpha}\right)=$ constant). In particular, if $g\left(C^{\prime}, \xi_{\alpha}\right)=0$, then $C$ is a Legendre curve where the contact angle is $\frac{\pi}{2}$. On the other hand, the Reeb flow corresponds to a curve of contact angle 0 .

### 3.1. Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian Manifold

A Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian manifold [2] is a connected ( $2 \mathrm{n}+1$ )-dimensional differentiable manifold equipped with a metric $g$, contact form $\eta_{\alpha}$, 1 -form $\phi_{\alpha}$, and vector field $\xi_{\alpha}$ satisfying certain conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{\alpha}^{2}=I+\eta_{\alpha} \otimes \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right)=-1,  \tag{6}\\
g\left(\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right),  \tag{7}\\
g\left(X_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}\right)=\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right),  \tag{8}\\
\eta_{\alpha} \circ \phi_{\alpha}=0, \phi_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha}=0 . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

For all $X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in T M$.
From (4) and (5) , a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian manifold $M$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}=-\alpha \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}  \tag{10}\\
\left(\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{L} \eta_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}=-\alpha g\left(\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right), \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\nabla^{L}$ represents the covariant difference operator on the Lorentzian metric $g$.
When the manifold is Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian, by (3) and $\beta=0$, we can obtain the following:

Proposition 1. If $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g\right)$ is an almost contact Lorentzian manifold, then it is $\alpha$ Sasakian if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{L} \phi_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}=\alpha\left(g\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) X_{\alpha}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the same parameters and computations as in [30], we obtain the following:
Proposition 2. Let $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g\right)$ be a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian manifold. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}=-\alpha \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}-\alpha \phi_{\alpha} h X_{\alpha} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=\frac{1}{2} £_{\xi_{\alpha}} \phi_{\alpha}$.
If the Lorentzian metric $g$ has a Killing vector field $\xi_{\alpha}$, that is, $M^{2 n+1}$ is a K-contact Lorentzian manifold, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}=-\alpha \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Frenet Slant Curves

Let $C: I \rightarrow M^{3}$ be a unit speed curve in a Lorentzian 3-manifold with $g\left(C^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)=$ $\epsilon_{1}= \pm 1$. The casual character of $C$ is given by the constant $\epsilon_{1}$. Furthermore, the second and third casual characters of $C$ are defined as $g\left(N_{c}, N_{c}\right)=\epsilon_{2}$ and $g\left(B_{c}, B_{c}\right)=\epsilon_{3}$, respectively. It then follows that the relation $\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}=-\epsilon_{3}$ holds.

If the casual character of a unit speed curve $C$ is 1 , it is said to be spacelike; if it is -1 , it is said to be timelike. A Frenet curve is a unit speed curve $C$ for which $g\left(C^{\prime \prime}, C^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq 0$. Along a Frenet curve $C$, there exists an orthonormal frame field $\left\{T_{c}=C^{\prime}, N_{c}, B_{c}\right\}$. The Frenet-Serret equations are given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} T_{c}=\epsilon_{2} \kappa_{c} N_{c}  \tag{15}\\
\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} N_{c}=-\epsilon_{1} \kappa_{c} T_{c}-\epsilon_{3} \tau_{c} B_{c} \\
\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} B_{c}=\epsilon_{2} \tau_{c} N_{c}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\kappa_{c}=\left|\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} C^{\prime}\right|$ is the geodesic curvature and $\tau_{c}$ is the geodesic torsion of $C$. The vector fields $T_{c}, N_{c}$, and $B_{c}$ are referred to as the tangent vector field, principal vector field, and binormal vector field of the curve $C$, respectively.

A Frenet curve $C$ is a geodesic if and only if its curvature $\kappa_{c}$ is zero. A Frenet curve with constant geodesic curvature and zero geodesic torsion is a pseudo-circle. A Frenet curve $C$ with constant geodesic curvature and geodesic torsion is a pseudo-helix.

In a three-dimensional almost contact metric manifold, the cross product is defined as

$$
X_{\alpha} \Lambda Y_{\alpha}=-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, \forall X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in T M
$$

Proposition 3. Let $\left\{T_{c}, N_{c}, B_{c}\right\}$ be an orthonormal Frame fields in a Lorentzian 3-manifold. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{c} \Lambda_{L} N_{c}=\epsilon_{3} B_{c}, N_{c} \Lambda_{L} B_{c}=\epsilon_{1} T_{c}, B_{c} \Lambda_{L} T_{c}=\epsilon_{2} N_{c} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in an almost contact Lorentzian 3-manifold, we define the Lorentzian cross product as follows:

Definition 2. Let $\left(M^{3}, \phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g\right)$ be an almost contact Lorentzian 3-manifold. The Lorentzian cross product $\Lambda_{L}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha}=-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, \forall X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in T M \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lorentzian cross product $\Lambda_{L}$ has the following properties:

Proposition 4. The Lorentzian cross product $\Lambda_{L}$ in an almost contact Lorentzian 3-manifold $\left(M^{3}, \phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g\right)$ has the following properties for all $X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha} \in T M$ :
(1) The Lorentzian cross product is bilinear and anti-symmetric;
(2) $X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha}$ is perpendicular to both $X_{\alpha}$ and $Y_{\alpha}$;
(3) $X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}=-g\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}$;
(4) $\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}=-\xi_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} X_{\alpha}$;
(5) Define a mixed product by $\operatorname{det}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)=-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)-g\left(Y_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right)-g\left(Z_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(Z_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right) ;
$$

(6) $g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) Z_{\alpha}+g\left(Y_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}\right) X_{\alpha}+g\left(Z_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}=\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}$.

Proof. (We can prove it in a similar way [31])
Property (1) and Property (2) are trivial.
For Property (3), by using (6), (8), and (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} & =-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}{ }^{2} Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(\phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha}{ }^{2} Y_{\alpha} \\
& =-g\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(\phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right)\left(Y_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}\right) \\
& =-g\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For Property (4), by using (6), (9), and (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\xi_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} X_{\alpha} & =-g\left(-\xi_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha}\left(-\xi_{\alpha}\right)+\eta_{\alpha}\left(-\xi_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} \\
& =\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For Property (5), from (8), (17), and $\phi_{\alpha}$ being a skew-symmetric (1, 1)-type tensor field, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right) & =g\left(-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right) \\
& =-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) g\left(\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) g\left(\phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right) \\
& =-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)-g\left(Y_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right)-g\left(Z_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, one can easily verify that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(Z_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)
$$

Property (6) is easily obtained from Property (5).
Proposition 5. Let $\left(M^{3}, \phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g\right)$ be a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L}\left(X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}\right) \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha}+X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha} \in T M$.

Proof. By $\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(X_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}\right), \eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(Y_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}\right)$ and (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L}\left(X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha}\right)= & \nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L}\left(-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \\
= & -g\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-g\left(X_{\alpha},\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \phi_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha}\right)\right) \xi_{\alpha} \\
& -g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}-g\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}-g\left(Y_{\alpha}, \nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} \\
& -\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right)\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \phi_{\alpha}\right) X_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \\
& +g\left(X_{\alpha}, \nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right)\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \phi_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha}\right) \\
= & \left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}\right) \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha}+X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha}\right)+A\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}\right) \Lambda_{L} Y_{\alpha} & =-g\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}\right)+\eta_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, \\
X_{\alpha} \Lambda_{L}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha}\right)= & -g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha}\right)\right) \xi_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} Y_{\alpha}\right), \\
A\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)= & -g\left(X_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \phi_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}-g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}-g\left(Y_{\alpha}, \nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} \\
& -\eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right)\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \phi_{\alpha}\right) X_{\alpha}+g\left(X_{\alpha}, \nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right)\left(\nabla_{Z_{\alpha}}^{L} \phi_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $M^{3}$ is a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold, it satisfies (12) and (14). Hence, we have

$$
A\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha\left[g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}+g\left(Y_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}+g\left(Z_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right] .
$$

Using Property (6) of Proposition 4 and (9), we have $A\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right)=0$. Hence, we obtain (18).

The Reeb vector field $\xi_{\alpha}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{\alpha} & =\epsilon_{1} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, T_{c}\right) T_{c}+\epsilon_{2} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, N_{c}\right) N_{c}+\epsilon_{3} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, B_{c}\right) B_{c}  \tag{19}\\
& =\epsilon_{1} \eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right) T_{c}+\epsilon_{2} \eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right) N_{c}+\epsilon_{3} \eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right) B_{c} .
\end{align*}
$$

From Property (4) of Proposition 4, Proposition 3, and (19), we have the following:
Proposition 6. For a Frenet curve $C$ in an almost contact Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold $M^{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\alpha} T_{c}=\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}\left(\eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right) B_{c}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right) N_{c}\right), \\
& \phi_{\alpha} N_{c}=\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{3}\left(\eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right) T_{c}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right) B_{c}\right), \\
& \phi_{\alpha} B_{c}=\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}\left(\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right) N_{c}-\eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right) T_{c}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (13) and (15), we find that differentiating $\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right), \eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{C}\right)$, and $\eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right)$ along a Frenet curve $C$ leads to the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)^{\prime} & =\epsilon_{2} \kappa_{c} \eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right)-\alpha g\left(T_{c}, \phi_{\alpha} h T_{c}\right), \\
\eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{C}\right)^{\prime} & =-\epsilon_{1} \kappa_{c} \eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)-\epsilon_{3}\left(\tau_{c}-\alpha\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right)-\alpha g\left(N_{c}, \phi_{\alpha} h T_{c}\right), \\
\eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right)^{\prime} & =\epsilon_{2}\left(\tau_{c}-\alpha\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right)-\alpha g\left(B_{c}, \phi_{\alpha} h T_{c}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $M^{3}$ is a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)^{\prime}=\epsilon_{2} \kappa_{c} \eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right),  \tag{20}\\
\eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right)^{\prime}=-\epsilon_{1} \kappa_{c} \eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)-\epsilon_{3}\left(\tau_{c}-\alpha\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right),  \tag{21}\\
\eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right)^{\prime}=\epsilon_{2}\left(\tau_{c}-\alpha\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right) \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (20), if $C$ is a geodesic curve, i.e., $\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}=0$, in a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold, then $C$ is naturally a slant curve. Considering a non-geodesic curve $C$, we have the following:

Proposition 7. In a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold, a non-geodesic Frenet curve $C$ is considered a slant curve if and only if $\eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right)=0$.

Proof. From (20) and $C$ being a non-geodesic Frenet curve, we obtain $\kappa_{c} \neq 0$. On the one hand, if $C$ is a slant curve, we have $\eta_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)=$ constant. Therefore, if $\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)^{\prime}=0$, we obtain $\eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right)=0$. On the other hand, if $\eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right)=0$, we obtain $\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)^{\prime}=0$; therefore $\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)=$ constant. Hence, the non-geodesic Frenet curve $C$ is a slant curve.

From (20), (22), and Proposition 7, we obtain that $\eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right)$ and $\eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right)$ are constant. Thus, by using (21), we obtain the following:

Theorem 1. The ratio relationship between the $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}-\alpha$ along a non-geodesic Frenet slant curve is a constant in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold $M^{3}$.

We will now consider a Legendre curve $C$ as a spacelike curve with a spacelike normal vector. For the Legendre curve $C, \eta_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)=0, \eta_{\alpha}\left(N_{c}\right)=0$, and $\eta_{\alpha}\left(B_{c}\right)$ is a constant. Hence, by (21), we have the following:

Corollary 1. The torsion of a Legendre curve is $\alpha$ in a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold $M^{3}$.
It can be observed that the ratio relationship between $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}-\alpha$ along a nongeodesic Frenet slant curve is a constant containing a Legendre curve.

### 3.3. Null Slant Curves

Null curves exhibit distinct properties from spacelike and timelike curves, and their general theory is developed in $[32,33]$. These curves find significant applications in general relativity.

Suppose a regular curve $C$ is a null(lightlike) curve on $M^{3}$, i.e., at each point $x$ of $C$, we have

$$
g\left(C^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)=0, C^{\prime} \neq 0
$$

The general Frenet frame along $C$ in a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold $M^{3}$ is represented by $F=\left\{T_{c}=C^{\prime}, N_{c}, W_{c}\right\}$, and it is determined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(T_{c}, N_{c}\right)=g\left(W_{c}, W_{c}\right)=1, g\left(T_{c}, T_{c}\right)=g\left(N_{c}, N_{c}\right)=g\left(N_{c}, W_{c}\right)=g\left(T_{c}, W_{c}\right)=0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily show that $C$ is geodesic for a null Legendre curve $C$. Therefore, we assume that $C$ is non-geodesic for the following research .

The general Frenet equations with respect to the frame $F$ and the covariant derivative $\nabla^{L}$ on $M^{3}$ are known from [8]

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{T_{c}}^{L} T_{c} & =h T_{c}+\kappa_{c} W_{c}, \\
\nabla_{T_{c}}^{L} N_{c} & =-h N_{c}+\tau_{c} W_{c}  \tag{24}\\
\nabla_{T_{c}}^{L} W_{c} & =-\tau_{c} T_{c}-\kappa_{c} N_{c},
\end{align*}
$$

where $h$ is a smooth function, $\kappa_{c}$ is the geodesic curvature of $C$, and $\tau_{c}$ is the torsion of $C$. There is known to exist a parameter $q$, called the parameter of a distinguished parameter, for which the function $h$ vanishes in (24). The pair $(C(q), F)$, where $F$ is a Frenet frame along $C$ with respect to a distinguished parameter $q$, is called a frame null curve (see [33]). Generally, $(C(q), F)$ is not unique as it depends on the distribution of $q$ and the screen. Therefore, under Lorentzian transformations, we seek a Frenet frame that has the minimum
number of curvature functions that are invariant. Such a frame is called the Cartan Frenet frame for the null curve $C$ of the Frenet frame. In [33], it is shown that if the null curve $C(q)$ is non-geodesic, such that the following condition for $C^{\prime \prime}=\frac{d}{d q} C^{\prime}$ holds,

$$
g\left(C^{\prime \prime}, C^{\prime \prime}\right)=\kappa_{c}=1
$$

then there only exists one Cartan Frenet frame $F$ that satisfies the following Frenet equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{T_{c}}^{L} T_{c} & =W_{c} \\
\nabla_{T_{c}}^{L} N_{c} & =\tau_{c} W_{c}  \tag{25}\\
\nabla_{T_{c}}^{L} W_{c} & =-\tau_{c} T_{c}-N_{c} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1. Suppose $C$ is a null curve on a three-dimensional almost contact Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold. It follows that the triad of vector fields $\left\{C^{\prime}, \xi_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}\right\}$ cannot be considered as a basis of $T_{x} M^{3}$ at $x \in C$.

Proof. From (6) and (23) at each point $x$ of $C$, we find that $\xi_{\alpha}$ is a timelike vector field and $C^{\prime}$ is a lightlike vector field. Hence, it is easy to verify that $\xi_{\alpha}$ and $C^{\prime}$ are linearly independent vector fields along $C$. If we assume $\phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}$ belongs to the plane $H=\operatorname{span}\left\{\xi_{\alpha}, C^{\prime}\right\}$, in the case of functions $m$ and $n$, we have $\phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}=m \xi_{\alpha}+n C^{\prime}$. We also obtain $C^{\prime}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \xi_{\alpha}=$ $m n \xi_{\alpha}+n^{2} C^{\prime}$ by applying $\phi_{\alpha}$ to both sides of this equality, which implies $n^{2}=1$. Thus, $\left\{C^{\prime}, \xi_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}\right\}$ are linear vector fields along $C$, which confirms our assertion.

In summary, in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds, it is impossible for a non-geodesic null slant curve $C$ to exist.

## 4. Contact Magnetic Curves

The Reeb vector field $\xi_{\alpha}$ is Killing, in a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold. Hence, the 2-form $\Phi_{\alpha}$ is $d \eta_{\alpha}$, i.e., $d \eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right)$, for all $X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in T M$.

Let $C: I \rightarrow M^{3}$ be a smooth curve on a contact Lorentzian manifold ( $\left.M^{3}, \phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g\right)$. Then, we define a magnetic field on $M^{3}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\xi_{\alpha}, q}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)=-q d \eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in T M, q$ is a nonzero constant, and $F_{\xi_{\alpha}, q}$ represents the contact magnetic field with strength $q$.

Using (1), (26), and $d \eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right)$, we obtain $\Phi_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=q \phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$. Hence, from (2), the Lorentz equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} C^{\prime}=q \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the geodesic generalized equation under the arc-length parametrization, i.e., $\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} C^{\prime}=$ 0 . For $q=0$, it can be observed that the contact magnetic field vanishes identically and the magnetic curves on $M^{3}$ are indeed geodesic. These solutions satisfying Equation (27) are referred to as contact magnetic curves or trajectories.

In contact Lorentzain 3-manifolds, by using (13) and (27), differentiating $g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, C^{\prime}\right)$ along a contact magnetic curve $C$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, C^{\prime}\right) & =g\left(\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} \xi_{\alpha}, C^{\prime}\right)+g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, \nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} C^{\prime}\right) \\
& =g\left(-\alpha \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}-\alpha \phi_{\alpha} h C^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)+g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, q \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-\alpha g\left(\phi_{\alpha} h C^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we utilize the property of contact magnetic curves to characterize the conditions of contact magnetic curves and slant curves in Lorentzian 3-manifolds in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. A contact magnetic curve $C$ is a slant curve if and only if $M^{3}$ is $\alpha$-Sasakian in a contact Lorentzian 3-mainfold $M^{3}$.

Proof. On the one hand, if $C$ is a slant curve, $g\left(C^{\prime}, \xi_{\alpha}\right)=$ constant, so $\frac{d}{d t} g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, C^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $M$ is $\alpha$-Sasakian. On the other hand, if $M$ is $\alpha$-Sasakian, the manifold is K-contact, so $h=0$ and $g\left(C^{\prime}, \xi_{\alpha}\right)=$ constant. Hence, $C$ is a slant curve.

Following this along a non-geodesic Frenet contact magnetic curve $C$, we find the curvature $\kappa_{c}$ and torsion $\tau_{c}$, and we assume that $\eta_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\eta_{\alpha}\left(T_{c}\right)=a$, for a constant $a$. Then, using (7), (15), and (27), we obtain

$$
\epsilon_{2} \kappa_{c}^{2}=q^{2} g\left(\phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}, \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}\right)=q^{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right) .
$$

According to the assumptions and deductions made, it can be concluded that $C$ has constant curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{c}=|q| \sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (15), (27), and (28), the principal normal vector field $N_{c}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{c}=\frac{\delta \epsilon_{2}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)}} \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\frac{q}{|q|}$.
By utilizing Proposition 3, (17), and (29), the binormal $B_{c}$ is calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{3} B_{c} & =T_{c} \Lambda_{L} N_{c} \\
& =C^{\prime} \Lambda_{L}\left(\frac{\delta \epsilon_{2}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)}} \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{\delta \epsilon_{2}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)}}\left(-\epsilon_{1} \xi_{\alpha}+a C^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating the binormal vector field $B_{c}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} B_{c} & =\frac{\delta \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)}} \nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L}\left(-\epsilon_{1} \xi_{\alpha}+a C^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{\delta \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)}} \nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L}\left(\alpha \epsilon_{1}+a q\right) \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

On another aspect of the binormal vector field, by (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} B_{c}=\epsilon_{2} \tau_{c} N_{c}=\tau_{c} \frac{\delta \phi_{\alpha} C^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (30) and (31), as $\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}=-1$, we obtain

$$
\tau_{c}=-\alpha-\epsilon_{1} a q
$$

Furthermore, if $C$ is a non-geodesic curve, then

$$
\frac{\tau_{c}+\alpha}{\kappa_{c}}=-\frac{\delta \epsilon_{1} a}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)}} .
$$

Therefore, we obtain the following:

Theorem 3. Let C be a non-geodesic Frenet curve in a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold. If C is a contact magnetic curve and $\alpha$ is a constant, then it is a slant pseudo-helix with curvature $\kappa_{c}=|q| \sqrt{\epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}\right)}$ and $\tau_{c}=-\alpha-\epsilon_{1} a q$. Moreover, the ratio of $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}+\alpha$ is a constant along a non-geodesic Frenet contact magnetic curve.

Assuming a Legendre curve is a spacelike curve with a spacelike normal vector field and $\eta_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=a=0$, we assume that $C$ is a Legendre curve and we can conclude that:

Corollary 2. If a non-geodesic Legendre curve $C$ is a contact magnetic curve in a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold, then it is a Legendre pseudo-helix with curvature $\kappa_{c}=|q|$ and torsion $\tau_{c}=-\alpha$.

Using Equation (28) for the geodesic curvature, if $\epsilon_{1}=1$, then $\eta_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=a$ and $1 \leq 1+a^{2}$, and we have $\epsilon_{2}=1$. As $\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}=-1$, we can deduce that $\epsilon_{3}=-1$. If $\epsilon_{1}=-1$, then $\eta_{\alpha}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=a=\cosh x_{0}$, as $C$ is a geodesic for $a=\cosh x_{0}=1$. Assuming $C$ is a nongeodesic curve, we have $a^{2}>0$, which implies that $-1+a^{2}>0$, and we can deduce that $\epsilon_{2}=\epsilon_{3}=1$. Therefore, we obtain the following:

Theorem 4. If a non-geodesic Frenet curve $C$ is a contact magnetic curve in a Lorentzian $\alpha$ Sasakian 3-manifold $M^{3}$, then $C$ is one of the following:
(1) a spacelike curve with a spacelike normal vector field or
(2) a timelike curve.

Moreover, we have the following content:

Corollary 3. There is no spacelike curve with a timelike normal vector field in Lorentzian $\alpha$ Sasakian 3-manifold $M^{3}$ if $C$ is a non-geodesic Frenet curve.

## 5. Example of Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-Manifolds

In this section, we present an example to validate our results.
Example 1. We consider the three-dimensional manifold $M=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: z>0\right\}$ in [6], where $(x, y, z)$ are the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let

$$
F_{1}=e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, F_{2}=e^{-z}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), F_{3}=\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z},
$$

where these vector fields are linearly independent at each point of $M$, and we take the contact form $\eta_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{\alpha} d z$ and the Reeb vector field of $\eta_{\alpha}$ is $\xi_{\alpha}=F_{3}=\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

Let $g$ be the Lorentzian metric

$$
g=e^{2 z} d x^{2}+e^{2 z}(-d x+d y)^{2}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} d z^{2}
$$

and

$$
g\left(F_{1}, F_{1}\right)=g\left(F_{2}, F_{2}\right)=1, g\left(F_{3}, F_{3}\right)=-1, g\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=g\left(F_{1}, F_{3}\right)=g\left(F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=0 .
$$

Then, we have

$$
\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]=0,\left[F_{1}, F_{3}\right]=\alpha F_{1},\left[F_{2}, F_{3}\right]=\alpha F_{2}
$$

The (1,1)-type field $\phi_{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\alpha} F_{1}=-F_{1}, \phi_{\alpha} F_{2}=-F_{2}, \phi_{\alpha} F_{3}=0 . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying linearity of $\phi_{\alpha}$ and $g$, we have

$$
\eta_{\alpha}\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(\xi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}\right)=-1, \phi_{\alpha}^{2} X_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha}+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{\alpha}, g\left(\phi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)+\eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{\alpha}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right)
$$

for all $X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha} \in T M$. The Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{L}$ of $M$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{F_{1}}^{L} F_{1}=\alpha F_{3}, \nabla_{F_{1}}^{L} F_{2}=0, \nabla_{F_{1}}^{L} F_{3}=\alpha F_{1}, \\
& \nabla_{F_{2}}^{L} F_{1}=0, \nabla_{F_{2}}^{L} F_{2}=\alpha F_{3}, \nabla_{F_{2}}^{L} F_{3}=\alpha F_{2},  \tag{33}\\
& \nabla_{F_{3}}^{L} F_{1}=0, \nabla_{F_{3}}^{L} F_{2}=0, \nabla_{F_{3}}^{L} F_{3}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The contact form $\eta_{\alpha}$ satisfies $d \eta_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)=g\left(X_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}\right)$. Moreover, the structure $\left(\phi_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}, g\right)$ is $\alpha$-Sasakian.

From the above results, we use the curvature tensor $R\left(X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right) Z_{\alpha}=\alpha^{2}\left[g\left(Y_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right) X_{\alpha}-\right.$ $\left.g\left(X_{\alpha}, Z_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}\right]$. Then, obtaining the components of the curvature tensor is straightforward, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right) F_{1}=-\alpha^{2} F_{2}, R\left(F_{1}, F_{3}\right) F_{1}=-\alpha^{2} F_{3}, R\left(F_{2}, F_{3}\right) F_{1}=0, \\
& R\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right) F_{2}=\alpha^{2} F_{1}, R\left(F_{1}, F_{3}\right) F_{2}=0, R\left(F_{2}, F_{3}\right) F_{2}=-\alpha^{2} F_{3}, \\
& R\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right) F_{3}=0, R\left(F_{1}, F_{3}\right) F_{3}=-\alpha^{2} F_{1}, R\left(F_{2}, F_{3}\right) F_{3}=-\alpha^{2} F_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sectional curvature [25] is given by

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(\xi_{\alpha}, F_{i}\right)=-R\left(\xi_{\alpha}, F_{i}, \xi_{\alpha}, F_{i}\right)=1, \text { for } i=1,2
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=R\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=-\alpha^{2} .
$$

Thus, we see that the Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold $M^{3}$ has constant holomorphic sectional curvature $\mu=-\alpha^{2}$.

Suppose $C$ is a Frenet slant curve in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds that is parameterized by arc-length. In this case, the tangent vector field takes on the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=C^{\prime}=\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}} \cos \gamma F_{1}+\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}} \sin \gamma F_{2}+a F_{3}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=$ constant, $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. Using (33), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{C^{\prime}}^{L} C^{\prime}=\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}}\left[\left(-\gamma^{\prime} \sin \gamma+a \alpha \cos \gamma\right) F_{1}+\left(\gamma^{\prime} \cos \gamma+a \alpha \sin \gamma\right) F_{2}+\alpha \sqrt{\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}} F_{3}\right] . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand, from (27) and (32), we have $\kappa_{c}=0$, that is, $C$ is a geodesic curve; in a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold, $C$ is naturally a slant curve. From (35), (27), and (32), there does not exist a non-geodesic slant Frenet curve in $M$.

Let $C(s)=(x(s), y(s), z(s))$ be a curve in Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian manifolds. Then, the tangent vector field $C^{\prime}$ of $C$ is

$$
C^{\prime}=\left(\frac{d x}{d s}, \frac{d y}{d s}, \frac{d z}{d s}\right)=\frac{d x}{d s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{d y}{d s} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\frac{d z}{d s} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$

By utilizing the relation:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=e^{z}\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial y}=e^{z} F_{1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\frac{1}{\alpha} F_{3},
$$

From (34), the system of differential equations for the slant curve $C$ in $M$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d x}{d s}(s)=\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}} \sin \gamma e^{-z} \\
& \frac{d y}{d s}(s)=\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}}(\sin \gamma+\cos \gamma) e^{-z}  \tag{36}\\
& \frac{d z}{d s}(s)=a \alpha
\end{align*}
$$

In this particular case, take a special curve $\gamma(s)=b s+c$, where $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $C: I \rightarrow M$ is a non-geodesic curve that is parameterized by arc-length s in the Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold. If $C$ is a contact magnetic curve, then the parametric equations of $C$ are provided by Equation (36)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=-\frac{1}{b} e^{-z} \sqrt{\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}} \cos (b s+c)+x_{0} \\
y(s)=\frac{1}{b} e^{-z} \sqrt{\epsilon_{1}+a^{2}}(-\cos (b s+c)+\sin (b s+c))+y_{0} \\
z(s)=a \alpha s+z_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}$ are constants.

## 6. Conclusions

This paper solves an interesting question of geometric properties and symmetry of two special types of curves in the Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. We prove the ratio relationship between $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}-\alpha$ or $\kappa_{c}$ and $\tau_{c}+\alpha$ along the two special types of curves. We also use the properties of the slant curve and contact magnetic curve to characterize the manifold as a Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifold. Finally, we provide an example and verify the slant curve and contact magnetic curve in the Lorentzian $\alpha$-Sasakian 3-manifolds. As a future work, we plan to proceed to study some applications of contact magnetic curves and slant curves with singularity theory and submanifold theory, etc. in [34-37] to obtain new results and theorems.
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