

## Supplementary Materials

# Variations in Methanogenic and Methanotrophic Communities Resulted in Different Methane Emissions from Paddy Soil Applied with Two Types of Manure

Beibei Zhou <sup>1,2</sup>, Ruirui Chen <sup>2</sup>, Shuang Peng <sup>1,2</sup>, Jianwei Zhang <sup>2</sup>, Xiangui Lin <sup>2</sup> and Yiming Wang <sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Jiangsu Open University, School of Environment and Ecology, Nanjing 210017, China

<sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China

\* Correspondence: ymwang@issas.ac.cn

**Table S1.** Statistic results of contrasting NCM and RCM, respectively, with control on five sampling days based on t-test.

CH <sub>4</sub> emission increase (%)	Stage1						Stage2			
	NCM			RCM			NCM		RCM	
	279.4%	↑ **		120.8%	↑ *		132.8%	↑ *	95.1%	↓ *
Soil properties	7d	21d	39d	7d	21d	39d	90d	133d	90d	133d
DOC	↑ **	↑ **	↑ **	↑ **	↑ **	↑ **	↑ **	↑ **	n.s.	n.s.
Methanogen amount	↑ *	↑ *	↑ *	n.s.	↓ **	n.s.	↑ *	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
<i>Methanosarcina</i> abundance	↑ **	↑ **	↑ **	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	↑ *	↑ *	↑ *	n.s.
NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	↑ **	↑ **	↑ *	↑ **	↑ **	↑ **	n.s.	↑ **	↑ **	↑ *
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	↑ **	n.s.	↑ **	↑ **	n.s.	↑ **	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	↑ **
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	↑ **	↑ **	↑ *	n.s.	n.s.	↑ **	↑ **
Methanotroph amount	n.s.	↓ *	↑ *	n.s.	↓ **	n.s.	n.s.	↑ **	↑ *	n.s.
<i>dsr</i> genes amount	↑ **	↑ **	n.s.	↑ *	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	↑ **	↑ *	↑ *
<i>Methylophilaceae</i> abundance	n.s.	—	n.s.	n.s.	—	n.s.	—	n.s.	—	↑ **

n.s., not significant; \*\*, significant at  $p < 0.01$ ; \*, significant at  $p < 0.05$ . NCM, non-composted manure; RCM, composted manure; Ctrl, control, with no manure incorporated.

**Table S2.** Effects of different treatments on rice biomass.

Dry matter (g/pot)	Ctrl	NCM	RCM
Straw	31.63 ± 3.38 c	40.16 ± 3.17 b	54.67 ± 2.63 a
Grain	34.14 ± 2.67 c	38.38 ± 1.13 b	53.24 ± 1.22 a
Root	3.96 ± 0.36 b	7.33 ± 0.17 a	7.05 ± 0.21 a